ChemTech



International Journal of ChemTech Research CODEN (USA): IJCRGG ISSN: 0974-4290 Vol.8, No.12 pp 52-67, 2015

Foliar application of glycine betaine for alleviating water stress of tomato plants grown under sandy soil conditions

¹Ragab, M.E., ¹Nesreen A.S. Helal, ²Omaima M. Sawan, ²Z.F. Fawzy and ² S.M. El-Sawy

 ¹Horticulture Dept., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
 ²Vegetable Research Dept., National Research Centre, 33EI-Bohouth St., (former El Tahrir St.,) Dokki, Giza, Egypt. Postal Code: 12622.

Abstract: Two field experiments were carried out during the two growing seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014, in a private farm at Bani Salama region, El-Giza Governorate, Egypt, in order to investigate the effect of foliar application of glycine betaine (GB) on vegetative growth, fruit yield and fruit quality of tomato plants (Marwa hybrid) grown under deficit irrigation (DI) treatments (100% (control), 85%, 70% and 55% of ET_o (Reference evapotranspiration)) in sandy soil. Four GB concentrations (0, 5, 10 and 20 mM/l), were applied after 2 and 6 weeks from transplanting date. Results indicated that, DI treatments significantly decreased the vegetative growth, photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids), leaf relative water content (LRWC), number of flowers per plant and fruit yield of tomato plants. In contrast, there were positive effects on proline content in the leaves, irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) and some fruit quality characteristics for tomatoes. Foliar application of GB at 10 mM/l ameliorated the negative effects of water stress and produced the highest significant values of plant length, number of leaves/plant, total leaves area/plant, fresh and dry weights of tomato leaves, LRWC and photosynthetic pigments, in both seasons. While, the values of marketable fruit yield of tomatoes were increased significantly also with foliar application of GB at 10 mM/l (by 12.86% and 13.91% in the first and second seasons, respectively), but there were no significant differences were realized among the GB treatments on the fruit quality. Concerning, the effect of interaction between DI treatments and foliar application of GB, the results revealed that application of irrigation water with 100% ET_0 and 10 mM/l of GB was the best treatment for vegetative growth and fruit yield of tomato plants in open field. It was also concluded that the vegetative growth and fruit yield as well as fruit quality of tomato plants which grown under DI, can be enhanced by foliar application of GB with 10 mM/l. Key words: Tomato, Deficit irrigation, Glycine betaine, Yield, Fruit quality, IWUE.

Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is a member of solanaceae family, it's one of the most popular and widely consumed vegetable crops in Egypt and all over the world^{1,2}. In Egypt, the tomato's cultivated area amounted by 460.000 feddan³. Increasing the productivity per unit area through increasing growth of tomato plants as well as with expanding the cultivated area in newly reclaimed lands, is very important issue especially under climate changes and water shortage conditions⁴. Tomato is a warm season perennial crop⁵ and it's sensitive to water stress and there is a high correlation between evapotranspiration (ET) and crop yield⁶.

Drought stress is the major stress affecting crop growth, development and yields⁷. In addition, the agricultural sector consumes more than 85% of the total annual water consumption⁸. As the demand for water is increasing, effective new technologies and agricultural practices are required to save water for expansion of agricultural area and other purposes^{9,10}.

Water stress is the major harmful factor affecting the vegetative growth, production and quality of vegetable crops. Several studies have shown that water deficit reduced crop growth, canopy development, morphological characteristics (shoot fresh weight, shoot length and leaf area per plant) and dry matter accumulation of tomato and sweet corn plants^{11,12,13}. In addition, maximum leaf area index LAI values for sweet corn plants were found in subjected to full irrigation treatment¹⁴. Also, several studies have shown that crop yields decreased with decreasing irrigation water for different crops: tomato¹⁵ and squash¹⁶. As well as, the highest marketable yields of tomatoes were obtained with 100% of crop evapotranspiration (ET_c) treatment and the yield reduction has been done when ET_c is reduced^{17,18}. Also, the same results were obtained by¹⁹ and on potatoes²⁰. On the other hand, the application of drip irrigation with 75% of ET_c was found to be the optimum irrigation amount in order to obtain the maximum yield of tomatoes and water productivity²¹. The same results were obtained on sweet corn in Turkey¹⁴ and on processing tomato in Italy²².

Conservation of water resources to justify the demand of more crops per drop of water^{23,24,9,7}, so using of deficit irrigation (DI) strategy is very important to increase crop water use efficiency (WUE) by reducing the amount of irrigation water²⁵. The adoption of DI strategies where a 50% reduction of ET_c could be suggested for open-field processing tomato in South Italy, for increasing WUE, minimizing fruit losses and maintaining high fruit quality levels²². As well as, several studies have shown that DI improved total soluble solids content, titratable acidity, vitamin C content and did not decrease dry mass yield for tomatoes^{18,26,27,28,22}. Otherwise, higher irrigation amount increased fruit number, mean fruit weight, fruit diameter and fruit length but decreased TSS and fruit firmness of tomato fruits²⁹. In addition, water use efficiency was increased by DI strategy²². Also, DI strategy can make a 50% saving of water and an approximately 200% increasing in irrigation water use efficiency compared to full irrigation^{26,16,30}.

Glycine betaine (GB) is an amino acid derivative witch accumulates in a variety of plant species in response to environmental stresses such as drought, salinity and extreme temperatures. However, there are many important crop species, like potato or tomato are unable to accumulate GB³¹. Thus, as an alternative, exogenous application of GB may be a possible approach to tolerate environmental stress^{32,33,34}.

Several studies have shown that, exogenous application of GB increased shoot fresh biomass and leaf area per plant^{35,36,37}. Moreover, drought stress decreased the following parameters for tomato plants, shoot height, root length, leaf number, leaf area, total shoot fresh weight, total shoot dry weight, relative water content and stress tolerance index^{38,43}. The exogenous application of GB increased all those traits significantly (70%, 73%, 187%, 193%, 168%, 9%, 72% and 122%, respectively). On common bean plants, application of GB at 2 mM/l under depletion of 30% available soil water increased leaf number and plant dry weight, chlorophyll reading, calcium percentage and decreased proline in leaves³⁹. In the same trend, under drought stress, the contents of chlorophyll and protein are decline in the leaves of processing tomato, while the contents of proline and soluble sugar are increased and antioxidant enzyme activities are enhanced by spraying GB (20, 40 mM/l)⁴⁰. In addition, GB-treated plants showed better ability to recover from wilting than untreated plants⁴¹.

The number of flowers, number of fruits and weight of fruit per plant, decreased under drought stress and increased significantly by 86%, 115% and 125%, respectively, under exogenous application of GB^{43,42}. In addition, foliar application of GB (2 or 4 mM/l) produced the highest seed yield and quality under the depletion of 30% available soil water³⁹.

The present study was conducted to determine the effect of glycine betaine application on ameliorating the deleterious effects of deficit irrigation on the vegetative growth, fruit yield and quality of tomato plants grown under sandy soil conditions.

Materials and Methods

Field experiment was carried out on tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons, in a private farm at Bani Salama region, El-Giza Governorate, Egypt, in order to investigate the effect of foliar application of glycine betaine (at concentrations of 0 (control, sprayed with distilled water),

5, 10 and 20 mM/l of GB, which were applied after 2 and 6 weeks from transplanting date) on ameliorating the deleterious effects of deficit irrigation (DI levels were 100% (control), 85%, 70% and 55% of ET_{o} (Reference evapotranspiration) and the total amounts of irrigation water were calculated by using Penman–Montieth modified equation⁴⁴ and the results are showed in Table (3)) on the vegetative growth, fruit yield and quality of tomato plants grown under sandy soil conditions. The experimental site (Fig.1) is located at latitude: 30°15"N, longitude: 30°47"E, in a newly reclaimed area. Geographical position of the experimental site is shown in Fig.1. Soil and irrigation water samples were taken and analyzed before planting and data are shown in Tables (1 and 2).

Tomato hybrid "Marwa" was used as test crop. Transplanting dates were on 27^{th} of September and 1^{st} of October in the first and second seasons, respectively. All agriculture practices were performed as recommended by Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation for tomato cultivation under open field conditions. Plants were fertilized with 230 units of N, 45 units of P_2O_5 and 70 units of K_2O /fed. during the growing season.

Experimental design:

The experiment was arranged in a split-plot design with three replications. Deficit irrigation treatments were arranged in the main plots and glycine betaine concentrations were assigned in the sub-plots. The area of the experimental plot was 22.5 m² consisted of one row with 15 m length and 1.5 m width and the plants were transplanted 75 cm spaced in the rows.

Physical propertie	es	Values
Very coarse sand, 9	% (2-1 mm)	16.60
Coarse sand, %	(1-0.5 mm)	54.80
Medium sand, %	(0.5-0.25 mm)	1.14
Fine sand, %	(0.25-0.1 mm)	16.48
Very fine sand, %	(0.1-0.05 mm)	9.64
Silt + Clay, %	(0.05> mm)	1.34
Soil texture		Sandy
Field capacity, (%)		12
Wilting point, (%)		3.7
Saturation percent,	(%)	29
Chemical propert	ies	
pH		8.12
EC (dS/m)		1.81
Calable actions	Ca ⁺⁺	7
Soluble cations	Mg^{++}	3.2
(meq./L)	Na ⁺	7.6
	K ⁺	0.41
Calable and and	CO3-	Nil
Soluble anions	HCO ₃	2.5
(meq./L)	Cl	9
	SO4	6.71

Table (1): Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil analysis.



Fig.1: Experimental site (Google map, Satellite)

Items		Values
pН		7.97
EC (dS/m)		1.36
Soluble actions	Ca ⁺⁺	6.35
(meg /I)	Mg ⁺⁺	4.11
(meq./L)	Na ⁺	5.95
	\mathbf{K}^+	0.23
Soluble enione	CO ₃ -	0
(meg /I)	HCO ₃ ⁻	2.97
(meq./L)	Cl	4.36
	SO ₄	5.12

Table (2): Chemical properties of experimental water analysis.

Measured characteristics:

- *Vegetative growth characteristics:* five plants were randomly chosen from three replications at 65 days from transplanting date to determine the following Characteristics: plant length (cm), number of leaves per plant, total leaves area (m^2) /plant (total leaves area was estimated with a 20 disc sampling per plant, dried and weighted separately. A relationship between disk dry matter and disk area was applied to total leaf dry matter to find total leaf area⁴⁵, fresh weight and dry weight of leaves (g) per plant.

- Determination of proline content in the tomato leaves:

Free proline content was extracted using 3% (w/v) aqueous sulphosalycylic acid and estimated by ninhydrin reagent⁴⁶.

Table (3): Irrigation requirements (minute/plant per day) for irrigation treatments (100%, 85%, 70% and 55% of ET_0) for tomato plants in open field cultivation in both seasons of 2012-2013 and 2013-2014.

XX7 I V	F	'irst season (2012/2013)		First season (2013/2014)							
Weeks*	100%	85%	70%	55%	100%	85%	70%	55%				
1	13.00	11.05	9.10	7.15	14.00	11.90	9.80	7.70				
2	13.50	11.48	9.45	7.43	14.00	11.90	9.80	7.70				
3	14.50	12.33	10.15	7.98	15.00	12.75	10.50	8.25				
4	15.00	12.75	10.50	8.25	15.50	13.18	10.85	8.53				
5	16.00	13.60	11.20	8.80	16.50	14.03	11.55	9.08				
6	17.30	14.71	12.11	9.52	17.80	15.13	12.46	9.79				
7	18.80	15.98	13.16	10.34	19.00	16.15	13.30	10.45				
8	20.50	17.43	14.35	11.28	20.50	17.43	14.35	11.28				
9	23.30	19.81	16.31	12.82	23.00	19.55	16.10	12.65				
10	26.00	22.10	18.20	14.30	27.00	22.95	18.90	14.85				
11	29.00	24.65	20.30	15.95	28.80	24.48	20.16	15.84				
12	32.20	27.37	22.54	17.71	32.70	27.80	22.89	17.99				
13	33.50	28.48	23.45	18.43	34.00	28.90	23.80	18.70				
14	34.60	29.41	24.22	19.03	35.40	30.09	24.78	19.47				
15	36.00	30.60	25.20	19.80	37.00	31.45	25.90	20.35				
16	38.40	32.64	26.88	21.12	39.50	33.58	27.65	21.73				
17	41.00	34.85	28.70	22.55	42.30	35.96	29.61	23.27				
18	38.60	32.81	27.02	21.23	40.60	34.51	28.42	22.33				

*Starting from 1st of October (2012 and 2013 for the first and second seasons, respectively).

- Estimation of photosynthetic pigments:

Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids (mg/g fresh weight)) in leaves were assayed⁴⁷. Fifth leaf from the top of the plant (the fully expended leaf) was chosen to take 0.5g sample fresh weight. The pigments were extracted by grinding the tissue with mortar and pestle using 10 ml N, N dimethyl formamide. The resulting extracts were used to determine the pigments by UV/VIS spectrophotometer (CT 200 spectrophotometer). The absorbance of the solution was measured at 470, 647 and 664 nm for chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids, respectively.

- Number of flowers and number of fruits per plant were also measured.

- *Yield:* Tomato fruits were hand harvested when reached to red ripe stage. At harvesting, total marketable yield was measured considering red and disease-free fresh fruits.

- *Fruit quality:* Random samples of fruits were taken from each experimental plot to determine the average fruit weight and total soluble solids (TSS %) using hand refractometer.

Water measurements:

- Leaf relative water content (LRWC) (%):

For the estimation of LRWC, 20 leaf discs samples (10 mm in diameter) were taken with a cork borer from three plants per replicate (the fifth leaf from the top) and placed in a reweighed Petri dish to determine

fresh weight (F.Wt.), discs were floated for 24 hours in distilled water inside a closed Petri dish until the discs became fully turgid. Discs samples were weighted after gently wiping the water from the leaf surface with a filter paper to determine turgid weight (T.Wt.). Finally, the leaf discs were placed in a per-heated oven at 70° C to a constant weight (almost 48h) and weighted again to obtain discs dry weight (D. Wt.). So, LRWC % was calculated according to the following equation⁴⁸: LRWC % = [(FW-DW)/(TW-DW)] × 100

- Irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) (kg/m3):

Irrigation water use efficiency under deficit irrigation treatments was determined using the following equations⁴⁹: IWUE = Yield (kg/fed.)/Applied irrigation water amount (m3/fed.).

Statistical analysis:

Analysis of variance of the obtained data from each attribute was computed using the MSTAT Computer Program⁵⁰. The Duncan's New Multiple Range test at 5% level of probability was used to test the significance of differences among mean values⁵¹.

Results and discussion

Vegetative growth characteristics:

Data in Tables (4 and 5) present the effect of deficit irrigation (DI) treatments and foliar application of glycine betaine (GB) on some plant growth characteristics of tomato plants, i.e., plant length, number of leaves per plant, total leaves area /plant and fresh and dry weights of tomato leaves. The obtained results clearly indicated that increasing the irrigation water deficit led significantly to decrease all plant growth parameters during both seasons of study. The highest values were obtained by the full irrigation (100% ET_o (control)) treatment, followed by 85% ET_o treatment with significant differences between them, whereas, the lowest values were obtained by 55% ET_o treatment. This may be due to the role of water in increasing the uptake of mineral elements from soil and translocation of photosynthetic assimilates, thus reflected increases in the leaf number and leaf area as well as foliage weight/plant⁵². On the other hand, drought stress causes various physiologic and biochemical effects in plants^{7,53,54}. However, the reduction in shoot fresh and dry biomass, shoot length, leaf area per plant, net CO₂ assimilation, transpiration rates, and stomatal conductance were accompanied to water stress^{55,35,56}, especially, for plants were grown under sandy soil conditions. In addition, the increase of irrigation water from 60% and up to 100% ET_o significantly increased the vegetative growth parameters, i.e. plant height, number of branches, leaves and pods/plant as well as leaf area and dry weight of stem and whole bean plants⁵⁷.

Concerning the effect of foliar application of GB on the above mentioned characteristics, data showed that the foliar application of GB ameliorated the vegetative growth parameters for tomato plants and the highest significant values for plant length, number of leaves/plant, total leaves area/plant, fresh and dry weights of tomato leaves were obtained with 10 mM/l GB treatment in both seasons and the lowest values were noticed with control treatment (0 mM/l GB). These results are in harmony with other work⁵⁸, found that foliar application of GB caused an improvement in vegetative growth of two eggplant cultivars, such improvement may be due to that GB was found to be related to improve photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance. In addition, exogenously applied glycine betaine has been shown to penetrate into plant leaves soon after application and is readily translocated to roots, meristems and expanding leaves³². Thus, developing and expanding plant organs are primarily protected from stress and enhanced growth and reproduction. Moreover, once glycine betaine is translocated to plant organs, it acts as an osmoprotectant in the cells⁵⁹.

Respecting the interactions between DI treatments and foliar application of GB, tomato plants were irrigated by 100% ET_o (control) treatment and foliar sprayed by 10 mM/l GB gave the highest values of all mentioned characteristics, followed by 85% ET_o treatment and foliar sprayed by 10 or 20 mM/l GB with significant differences among the treatments.

Data in Table (6) present the effect of DI treatments and foliar application of GB on photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids) and proline content in tomato leaves. As to the photosynthetic pigments, the highest significant values were obtained with 100% ET_o (control) treatment, while the lowest values were gained with 55% ET_o treatment in both seasons. In the second season there were no significant differences were realized between 100% ET_o and 85% ET_o treatments. In the same trend, the contents of chlorophyll are declined in the leaves of processing tomato under drought stress⁴⁰. Where, chlorophyll fluorescence has been used widely in a number of plants as a stress screening indicator to determine injury or tolerance to various environmental stresses^{41,60}. Typically, the rate and yield of variable chlorophyll fluorescence were reduced by stress conditions⁴¹. In addition, drought stress reduces photosynthetic rate in soybean which mainly due to the reduction in stomatal conductance caused by increased ABA concentration in the leaves. On contrast, proline content increased in tomato leaves with decreasing the irrigation water⁵⁶.

Trea	tments			2012/20)13			2013/2014						
Deficit irrigation (DI)	Glycine betiane (GB)	Plant length (cm)		Plant length (cm) Number of leaves/plant		er of plant	Tot leav area /plai	al es (m ² nt)	Plant lo (cn	Plant length (cm)		r of lant	Tot leaves (m ² /pl	tal area lant)
	0 mM/l	89.83	b	86.83	b	4.05	bc	90.46	b	86.93	bc	4.24	В	
1000/ FT	5 mM/l	90.17	b	88.00	b	4.13	b	90.56	b	89.37	b	4.22	В	
100% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	98.33	а	96.83	a	4.31	а	98.26	а	98.00	а	4.44	А	
	20 mM/l	89.00	b	88.83	b	3.98	с	89.33	bc	88.95	b	4.25	В	
	0 mM/l	83.33	С	76.67	def	3.68	d	83.56	d	78.00	e	3.78	С	
950/ ET	5 mM/l	85.50	bc	79.83	cd	3.98	с	85.67	cd	80.74	de	4.07	В	
85% EI	10 mM/l	88.00	bc	84.50	bc	4.00	с	89.50	bc	84.62	с	4.12	В	
	20 mM/l	87.17	bc	80.83	cd	4.02	bc	87.31	bcd	81.19	d	4.16	В	
	0 mM/l	78.00	d	63.33	g	2.37	g	78.83	e	64.41	g	2.48	Е	
700/ ET	5 mM/l	79.00	d	71.33	f	2.50	f	79.50	e	72.60	f	2.63	Е	
/0% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	85.83	bc	77.50	de	3.28	e	86.97	bcd	79.67	de	3.42	D	
	20 mM/l	83.50	С	73.50	ef	3.37	e	84.33	d	74.24	f	3.41	D	
	0 mM/l	59.50	G	59.83	g	1.63	j	60.17	h	59.00	h	1.67	Н	
550/ ET	5 mM/l	64.83	F	51.92	h	1.77	i	65.58	g	62.38	g	1.81	gh	
55% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	73.67	Е	63.73	g	2.16	h	74.67	f	64.92	g	2.14	F	
	20 mM/l	72.50	Е	63.13	g	1.81	i	73.40	f	63.67	g	1.89	G	
100%	ν ET _o	91.83	Α	90.13	А	4.12	Α	92.15	А	90.81	А	4.29	А	
85%	6 ETo	86.00	В	80.46	В	3.92	В	86.51	В	81.14	В	4.03	В	
70%	6 ETo	81.58	С	71.42	С	2.88	С	82.41	С	72.73	С	2.98	С	
55%	6 ETo	67.63	D	59.65	D	1.84	D	68.45	D	62.49	D	1.88	D	
0 n	nM/l	77.67	D	71.67	С	2.93	D	78.25	D	72.09	С	3.04	D	
5 n	nM/l	79.88	С	72.77	С	3.10	С	80.33	С	76.27	В	3.18	С	
10 1	mM/l	86.46	Α	80.64	А	3.44	А	87.35	А	81.80	А	3.53	А	
20 1	mM/l	83.04	В	76.57	В	3.30	В	83.59	В	77.01	В	3.43	В	

Table (4): Effect of deficit irrigation and foliar application of glycine betaine on some vegetative attributes of tomato plants during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

Values followed by the same letter (s) within column are not significantly different (P<0.05)

Treat	ments		2012/	/2013			13/2014			
Deficit irrigation (DI)	Glycine betiane (GB)	Fresh weight of leaves (g)Dry weight of leaves (g)		Fresh we of leave	eight s (g)	Dry weight of leaves (g)				
	0 mM/l	668.80	b	29.09	с	671.60	b	30.97	с	
1000/ FT	5 mM/l	662.00	b	29.73	bc	665.60	b	31.53	bc	
100% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	730.50	a	31.83	а	731.10	а	33.53	а	
	20 mM/l	670.00	b	30.52	b	673.20	b	32.22	b	
	0 mM/l	591.00	e	22.15	g	596.80	e	23.64	g	
	5 mM/l	611.50	d	24.17	f	614.60	d	25.63	f	
85% ET _o	10 mM/l	630.00	с	28.09	d	633.30	с	29.68	d	
	20 mM/l	612.50	cd	26.38	e	617.50	cd	28.03	e	
	0 mM/l	353.30	g	15.63	j	358.30	g	17.10	j	
	5 mM/l	361.80	g	16.65	i	365.50	g	18.20	i	
/0% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	390.80	f	19.39	h	394.90	f	20.89	h	
	20 mM/l	358.30	g	16.37	ij	362.50	g	17.97	ij	
	0 mM/l	186.70	j	12.23	m	191.10	j	13.77	m	
550/ FT	5 mM/l	208.50	i	13.32	1	213.60	i	14.54	lm	
55% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	229.30	h	14.51	k	233.60	h	16.02	k	
	20 mM/l	210.00	i	13.63	1	214.00	i	15.31	kl	
100%	6 ETo	682.80	Α	30.29	Α	685.40	Α	32.06	А	
85%	ο ET _o	611.30	В	25.20	В	615.50	В	26.75	В	
70%	o ET _o	366.10	С	17.01	С	370.30	С	18.54	С	
55%	b ET _o	208.60	D	13.42	D	213.10	D	14.91	D	
0 n	nM/l	450.00	С	19.77	D	454.40	С	21.37	D	
5 n	nM/l	461.00	В	20.97	С	464.80	В	22.48	С	
10 r	nM/l	495.20	Α	23.45	Α	498.20	Α	25.03	А	
20 r	nM/l	462.70	В	21.73	В	466.80	В	23.38	В	

 Table (5): Effect of deficit irrigation and foliar application of glycine betaine on fresh and dry weights of leaves (g) of tomato plants during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

Values followed by the same letter (s) within column are not significantly different (P<0.05)

The highest significant values were obtained with 55% ET_{o} treatment and the lowest values were gained by 100% ET_{o} (control) treatment in both seasons. In the same trend water stress induced an accumulation in proline concentration in wheat grains. Increased proline content in the grain of stressed wheat plants may help to overcome any further stress conditions⁶¹. Proline accumulates under stressed conditions supplies energy for growth and survival and thereby helps the plant to tolerate stress. Similar results are obtained in sorghum plant⁶². In the same trend, under drought stress, the contents of proline and soluble sugar are increased in the leaves of processing tomato⁴⁰.

Respecting the effect of foliar application of GB on photosynthetic pigments and proline content in tomato leaves, results showed that, the highest significant values of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids were obtained with 10 and 20 mM/l of GB, with no significant differences between them except with chlorophyll a in the first season only. On contrast, the lowest values were obtained with 0 mM/l GB treatment. In this respect, the application of glycine betaine at 1 and 5 mM to salt stressed tomato plants increased the photosynthetic pigments⁶³. Moreover, the effect of water stress on fluorescence was reduced by glycine betaine treatment⁴¹. Also, they mentioned that the adverse effects of water stress on CO₂ uptake and chlorophyll fluorescence in bean were fully or partially prevented by glycine betaine treatment. In support, glycine betaine can improve the thermal stability and electron transport in photosystem II⁶⁴. Similarly in spinach chloroplast, glycine betaine was shown to protect the oxygen evolution in photosystem II against salt stress⁶⁵. On the other hand, the highest significant values of proline content were obtained with 0 mM/l GB (control) treatment and then decreased with increasing GB concentrations in both seasons. In this respect, the reduction of free proline due to the application of glycine betaine was observed, where addition of 1 mM GB to salt affected tomato plants reduced proline accumulation in leaves by 41% while 5 mM GB increased proline by 30%, suggesting its interference in the process of osmotic adjustment by proline production⁶³.

Trea	tments			20)12/20	13			2013/2014								
Deficit irrigati on (DI)	Glycine betiane (GB)	Chlorop (mg/g : weig	phyll a fresh ht)	Chloro ll b (n fres weig	ophy ng/g h ht)	Carote ds (mg fresl weigh	enoi g/g h nt)	Prolin conter (µg/§ DW)	ne nt g	Chloroj a (mg fres weigl	phyll g/g h nt)	Chlor yll b (ı fres weig	roph mg/g sh ht)	Carot ids (n fres weig	eno ng/g sh ht)	Prolin conte (µg/s DW)	ne nt g)
	0 mM/l	2.03	e	1.49	bc	1.49	b	36.85	1	2.08	ef	1.54	b	1.56	с	36.52	Κ
100%	5 mM/l	2.34	с	1.48	bc	1.61	a	36.60	lm	2.42	с	1.53	b	1.67	b	36.38	K
ETo	10 mMl	2.66	а	1.76	а	1.69	а	36.00	m	2.74	а	1.79	а	1.75	а	36.08	K
	20 mM/l	2.52	b	1.71	а	1.69	a	36.33	lm	2.61	b	1.74	а	1.76	а	36.10	Κ
	0 mM/l	1.89	f	1.31	d	1.12	d	39.75	i	2.01	f	1.39	cd	1.24	e	40.05	Н
85%	5 mM/l	2.03	e	1.48	bc	1.40	c	38.87	j	2.12	e	1.61	b	1.39	d	39.18	Ι
ETo	10 mMl	2.22	d	1.64	а	1.48	bc	38.38	j	2.23	d	1.73	а	1.55	c	38.07	J
	20 mM/l	2.12	de	1.69	а	1.44	bc	37.67	k	2.27	d	1.74	а	1.56	с	37.98	J
	0 mM/l	1.57	hi	1.32	d	0.89	ef	48.53	e	1.63	j	1.34	de	0.94	g	49.35	D
70%	5 mM/l	1.66	gh	1.28	de	0.97	e	47.22	f	1.75	ghi	1.35	de	1.05	f	47.97	Е
ETo	10 mMl	1.73	g	1.37	cd	1.14	d	46.03	g	1.84	g	1.48	bc	1.24	e	46.25	F
	20 mM/l	1.65	gh	1.51	b	1.16	d	45.05	h	1.77	gh	1.59	b	1.22	e	45.35	G
	0 mM/l	1.36	j	0.86	сŋ	0.58	i	60.80	a	1.39	k	0.90	f	0.62	j	61.67	Α
55%	5 mM/l	1.52	i	0.92	ы	0.67	h	59.97	b	1.61	j	0.97	f	0.75	i	59.00	В
ETo	10 mMl	1.60	hi	1.13	f	0.81	fg	55.57	c	1.67	hij	1.23	e	0.82	h	54.82	С
	20 mM/l	1.62	ghi	1.17	ef	0.76	g	54.57	d	1.65	ij	1.32	de	0.80	hi	55.38	С
100	% ET _o	2.39	А	1.61	А	1.62	Α	36.45	D	2.46	А	1.65	А	1.68	Α	36.27	D
85%	% ET _o	2.06	В	1.53	В	1.36	В	38.67	С	2.16	В	1.62	А	1.43	В	38.82	С
70%	% ET _o	1.65	С	1.37	С	1.04	С	46.71	В	1.75	С	1.44	В	1.11	С	47.23	В
55%	% ET _o	1.52	D	1.02	D	0.71	D	57.72	Α	1.58	D	1.11	С	0.75	D	57.72	А
0 1	mM/l	1.71	D	1.25	В	1.02	С	46.48	Α	1.78	С	1.29	С	1.09	С	46.90	А
5 1	mM/l	1.89	С	1.29	В	1.16	В	45.66	В	1.98	В	1.37	В	1.21	В	45.63	В
10	mM/l	2.05	Α	1.47	А	1.28	Α	44.00	С	2.12	А	1.56	А	1.34	А	43.80	С
20	mM/l	1.09	D	1.52	٨	1.26	٨	42.40	D	2.07	٨	1.60	٨	1 22	Δ	42 70	C

Table (6): Effect of irrigation levels and foliar application of glycine betaine on chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg/g f.wt) and free proline (μ g/g DW) contents in tomato leaves during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

Values followed by the same letter (s) within column are not significantly different (P<0.05)

Regarding the interactions between DI treatments and foliar application of GB, the highest significant values of chlorophyll a were obtained with (100% ET_{o} (control) and foliar application of 10 mM/l GB) treatment, but the highest significant values for chlorophyll b and carotenoids were gained by (100% or 85% ET_{o} and 10 or 20 mM/l GB) treatments. As to proline content in tomato leaves, plants were irrigated with 55% ET_{o} and foliar sprayed by GB at 0 mM/l (control treatment) gave the highest significant values in both seasons.

Flowering and fruit yield:

Data in Table (7) show the effect of DI treatments and foliar application of GB on number of flowers per plant, number of fruits per plant and total marketable yield. Results illustrated that drought stress adversely affected number of flowers per plant and fruit yield of tomato plants. The highest significant values were obtained with 100% ET_{o} (control) treatment followed by 85% ET_{o} and the lowest values were obtained by 55% ET_{o} treatment. DI treatments which negatively affected and reduced plant growth of tomato plants as shown in Tables (5and 6). So, thus affected the development of flowering and fruiting characteristics. These results are in agreement with other works on bean^{66,39} and onion⁵². In addition, maximum marketable tomato yields are obtainable under irrigation with water amounts based on 100% ET_{c}^{67} , or by irrigation water amounts determined using kp_c 1.00¹⁸. Besides, a negative trend in response to increasing soil water deficit was observed for fruit yield²⁸. In this respect, it was observed that the number of marketable fruits per plant reduced as soil

water tension increased during fruit development⁶⁸. Also, higher yields of tomatoes could be realized with a greater seasonal water application¹⁰.

Concerning the effect of foliar application of GB on number of flowers per plant and fruit yield. Results showed that the foliar application of 10 mM/l GB gave the highest significant values of the number of flowers, number of fruits per plant and total marketable yield. While, the lowest values were obtained with 0 mM/l GB treatment. Similarly, the application of GB (10 mM) was significant in alleviating the adverse effects of water deficit on yield and yield components of wheat cultivars⁶⁹. These results are in conformity with those obtained on sorghum plant under drought stress⁷⁰. This improvement in yield and yield components due to GB application would result from the beneficial effect of GB on growth and metabolism and its role as osmoprotectant. Moreover, the positive effects of foliar spraying of GB under water limited environment were observed in different crops such as tomato⁴² and common bean⁴⁴.

Respecting the interactions between DI treatments and foliar application of GB, tomato plants were irrigated by 100% ET_o (control) treatment with foliar sprayed with 10 mM/l GB gave the highest significant values of the above mentioned characteristics. In addition, no significant differences were detected with 5 mM/l GB treatment on the number of fruits per plant and 20 mM/l GB treatment on the total marketable yield.

Fruit quality:

Data in Table (8) show the effect of DI treatments and foliar application of GB on fruit quality of tomatoes. Results illustrated that the deficit irrigation treatments significantly decreased the average fruit weight, where the highest significant values were obtained with 100% ET_{o} (control) treatment. While, there were no significant differences among 100%, 85% and 70% ET_{o} treatments for the fruit diameter and they were superior on 55% ET_{o} treatment. The highest significant values for tomato fruit TSS were gained by 55% ET_{o} treatment compared to the others studied treatments. Similarly, fruit weight and diameter were the highest at the full irrigated application^{18,28}. Moreover, the increase of soil water deficit led to rising in fruit soluble solids content²⁸. In the same trend, water deficit improved the quality of fruits, by increasing TSS and acidity in tomato fruits⁷¹. Also, Moderate water deficits increased the total soluble solids in tomato fruits^{72,73}.

Regarding the effect of foliar application of GB on the tomato fruit quality, results showed that no significant differences were noticed among the treatments in both seasons for the mentioned fruit quality characteristics.

Concerning the interactions between DI treatments and foliar application of GB, tomato plants were irrigated by 100% ET_{0} (control) treatment with foliar application of 0 mM/l GB, recorded the highest significant values of average fruit weight and followed by 100% ET_{0} (control) and 10 mM/l GB treatment. While, there were no significant differences among 100, 85 and 70% ET_{0} treatments, and they were superior on 55% ET_{0} treatment for the fruit diameter in both seasons. On the contrary, 55% ET_{0} treatment with all the GB concentrations recorded the highest significant values for TSS compared to the others studied treatments.

Treatme	ents			2012/2	013			2013/2014					
Deficit irrigation (DI)	Glycine betiane (GB)	Number flowers plant	of 5/	Number of fruits / plant		Total marketable yield (ton/fed.)		Number of flowers / plant		Number of fruits / plant		Total marketable yield (ton/fed.)	
	0 mM/l	112.20	b	81.00	bc	43.82	c	114.80	b	82.82	bc	43.78	c
1009/ ET	5 mM/l	111.70	b	83.33	ab	44.58	b	113.70	b	85.15	ab	45.20	b
100% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	118.70	a	85.50	a	48.80	a	122.00	a	87.32	a	50.20	a
	20 mM/l	106.80	b	79.50	c	48.82	a	109.50	b	81.32	c	50.07	a
	0 mM/l	85.67	de	62.67	e	37.52	g	87.88	e	64.49	e	37.55	g
850/ ET	5 mM/l	88.33	de	65.33	e	39.08	f	90.54	de	67.15	e	39.07	f
85% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	96.33	c	71.67	d	41.63	d	99.31	с	73.49	d	42.40	d
	20 mM/l	91.83	cd	64.83	e	40.15	e	94.04	cd	66.65	e	40.10	e
	0 mM/l	64.67	g	44.00	h	32.83	k	67.01	g	45.82	h	32.93	j
700/ ET	5 mM/l	74.67	f	50.33	gg	34.34	j	76.88	f	52.15	gg	34.50	i
/0% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	84.83	e	55.33	f	36.15	h	87.04	e	57.15	f	35.98	h
	20 mM/l	73.17	f	50.50	g	35.28	i	75.38	f	52.32	g	35.43	h
	0 mM/l	44.50	j	35.33	j	22.45	0	46.81	j	37.15	j	23.19	m
550/ FT	5 mM/l	46.67	ij	37.83	ij	24.57	n	48.31	ij	39.65	ij	25.27	1
55% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	54.83	h	42.67	h	27.58	1	56.97	h	44.49	h	28.00	k
	20 mM/l	51.83	hi	39.17	i	26.17	m	53.97	hi	40.99	i	25.65	1
100% E	T _o	112.30	А	82.33	А	46.51	А	115.00	А	84.15	А	47.31	А
85% E	То	90.54	В	66.13	В	39.60	В	92.94	В	67.94	В	39.78	В
70% E	То	74.33	С	50.04	С	34.65	С	76.58	С	51.86	С	34.71	С
55% E	To	49.46	D	38.75	D	25.19	D	51.51	D	40.57 D		25.53	D
0 mM	/1	76.75	С	55.75	С	34.15	D	79.13	С	57.57 C		34.37	D
5 mM	/1	80.33	В	59.21	В	35.64	С	82.35	В	61.03	В	36.01	С
10 mM	[/]	88.67	А	63.79	А	38.54	А	91.33	А	65.61	А	39.15	А
20 mM	[/]	80.92	В	58.50	В	37.60	В	83.22	В	60.32	В	37.81	В

Table (7): Effect of deficit irrigation and foliar application of glycine betaine on the number of flowersand the number of fruits per tomato plant and the total marketable yield (ton/fed.) of tomatoes during2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

Values followed by the same letter (s) within column are not significantly different (P<0.05)

Treatme	nts			2012/20	13			2013/2014					
Deficit irrigation (DI)	Glycine betiane (GB)	Average fruit weight (g)Fruit diameter (cm)TSS (%)		%)	Avera fruit w (g)	age eight	Fruit diameter (cm)		TSS (%)				
	0 mM/l	99.75	a	5.31	a	6.14	d	95.60	a	4.97	a	6.19	ef
1009/ ET	5 mM/l	92.05	bc	5.17	а	6.02	d	92.90	ab	5.02	а	6.03	f
100 % E1 ₀	10 mM/l	98.04	ab	5.24	а	6.15	d	94.92	а	5.08	а	6.18	ef
	20 mM/l	89.55	cd	5.18	a	6.13	d	89.63	abc	5.08	а	6.36	de
	0 mM/l	86.09	cd	5.06	a	6.53	с	86.59	bc	5.17	а	6.66	c
850/ ET	5 mM/l	85.49	cd	4.98	a	6.39	с	86.65	bc	5.27	а	6.53	cd
0570 E1 ₀	10 mM/l	88.04	cd	5.06	a	6.38	с	85.92	bc	5.12	а	6.23	ef
	20 mM/l	86.05	cd	4.93	a	6.15	d	87.73	bc	5.18	а	6.40	de
	0 mM/l	82.16	d	4.98	a	6.90	b	83.34	с	5.02	а	6.97	b
7 00/ ET	5 mM/l	83.68	d	4.94	a	6.95	b	84.51	с	5.15	а	7.04	b
7070 E1 ₀	10 mM/l	84.98	cd	5.03	a	7.00	b	89.40	abc	5.01	а	7.18	b
	20 mM/l	83.14	d	5.00	a	7.02	b	87.29	bc	5.15	а	7.15	b
	0 mM/l	70.53	e	3.95	b	7.68	а	67.66	d	4.16	b	7.86	a
559/ ET	5 mM/l	73.98	e	4.22	b	7.60	а	71.87	d	4.34	b	7.82	a
5570 E1 ₀	10 mM/l	73.28	e	4.30	b	7.63	а	71.43	d	4.32	b	7.78	a
	20 mM/l	71.88	e	4.24	b	7.53	а	70.86	d	4.31	b	7.65	a
100% E	To	94.85	А	5.23	А	6.11	D	93.26	А	5.04	А	6.19	D
85% E	Го	86.42	В	5.01	А	6.36	С	86.72	В	5.18	А	6.46	С
70% E	Γο	83.49	В	4.99	А	6.97	В	86.13	В	5.08	А	7.08	В
55% E	Γο	72.42	С	4.18	В	7.61	А	70.45	С	4.28	В	7.78	А
0 mM/	/1	84.64	А	4.83	А	6.81	Α	83.30	А	4.83	А	6.92	А
5 mM	1	83.80	Α	4.83	А	6.74	Α	83.98	Α	4.94	Α	6.85	А
10 mM	//	86.08	А	4.91	Α	6.79	Α	85.42	А	4.88	А	6.84	А
20 mM	1/1	82.66	A	4.84	Α	6.71	А	83.87	A	4.93	Α	6.89	A

Table (8): Effect of deficit irrigation and foliar application of glycine betaine on average fruit weight (g),fruit diameter (cm) and TSS (%) of tomato fruits during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

Values followed by the same letter (s) within column are not significantly different (P<0.05)

Water measurements (LRWC & IWUE):

Data presented in Table (9) show the effect of DI treatments and foliar application of GB on leaf relative water content (LRWC) and irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE). Results revealed that, the DI treatments significantly decreased the LRWC and the highest significant values were obtained with 100% ET_{o} (control) treatment. On the other hand, there were positive effects for DI on IWUE. Where 55% ET_{o} treatment recoded the highest significant values compared to the others studied treatments. These results are in harmony with other works, which refer to the using of DI strategy is very important to increase crop water use efficiency (WUE)²⁵. Moreover, the adoption of DI strategies at 50% reduction of ET_{c} could be suggested in open-field processing tomato in South Italy, for increasing WUE, minimizing fruit losses and maintaining high fruit quality levels²⁸.

Table (9): Effect of deficit irrigation and foliar application of glycine betaine on leaf relative water
content (LRWC) (%) and the irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) (kg/m3) of tomato plants during
2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

Treati		201	12/2013		2013/2014						
Deficit irrigation (DI)	Glycine betiane (GB)	Leaf rela water cor (%) (LRV	Leaf relative water contentIrrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) (kg/m3)				ative ontent SWC)	Irriga water efficie (IWU (kg/n	tion use ncy JE) 13)		
	0 mM/l	85.28	d	31.53	j	85.77	с	30.08	i		
1000/ FT	5 mM/l	86.02	с	33.20	i	85.97	с	33.62	h		
	10 mM/l	88.42	a	38.61	h	89.01	a	40.23	g		
	20 mM/l	86.86	b	42.08	ъ	87.14	b	43.08	f		
	0 mM/l	81.53	f	43.59	f	82.17	e	44.30	f		
950/ ET	5 mM/l	83.07	e	47.56	e	83.27	d	49.06	d		
05% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	84.86	d	54.92	bc	85.14	c	56.13	b		
	20 mM/l	84.79	d	54.50	с	85.22	с	55.69	b		
	0 mM/l	65.56	j	46.90	e	66.31	i	47.69	e		
7 00/ ET	5 mM/l	66.53	i	49.59	d	67.28	h	50.06	d		
/0% E1 ₀	10 mM/l	67.49	h	54.33	с	68.65	g	55.56	b		
	20 mM/l	68.99	g	56.14	b	69.76	f	56.60	b		
	0 mM/l	60.38	n	53.78	с	61.08	1	53.73	с		
550/ ET	5 mM/l	63.01	m	54.76	bc	63.28	k	55.26	b		
55% EI ₀	10 mM/l	64.13	1	59.89	a	64.27	j	61.33	a		
	20 mM/l	64.87	k	59.86	а	65.47	i	61.01	а		
100%	ET _o	86.64	А	36.35	D	86.97	А	36.75	D		
85%	ETo	83.56	В	50.14	С	83.95	В	51.29	С		
70%	ETo	67.14	С	51.74	В	68.00	С	52.48	В		
55%	ETo	63.10	D	57.07	А	63.52	D	57.83	А		
0 m	M/I	73.19	С	43.95	D	73.83	С	43.95	D		
5 m	M/I	74.66	В	46.28	С	74.95	В	47.00	С		
10 m	76.22	A	51.94	В	76.77	A	53.31	В			
20 m	M/I	76.38	Α	53.14	А	76.90	А	54.09	А		

Values followed by the same letter (s) within column are not significantly different (P<0.05)

Respecting the effect of foliar application of GB on the above mentioned parameters, results showed that foliar spraying of 10 and 20 mM/l GB treatments gave the highest significant values of LRWC, while 20 mM/l GB treatment only for IWUE in the both seasons. Similarly, plants treated by GB under water stress treatment, showed a slower decrease in leaf water potential, thus developing wilting symptoms much later than untreated plants. In addition, GB treated plants showed better ability to recover from wilting than untreated plants⁴¹.

Regarding the interactions between DI treatments and foliar application of GB, using 100% ET_o (control) with 10 mM/l GB treatment gave the highest significant values of LRWC, but using 55% ET_o with 10 or 20 mM/l GB treatments had the highest significant values of IWUE.

Conclusion

It was concluded that, tomato plants could be produced under sandy soil conditions using DI strategy at 85% ET_o with foliar spraying by 10 mM/l GB to overcome the negative effects of water stress and improve the vegetative growth, fruit yield and quality.

Abbreviations

- GB	Glycine betaine	- ET	Evapotranspiration
- DI	Deficit irrigation	- ET _c	Crop evapotranspiration
- LRWC	Leaf relative water content	- ET _o	Reference evapotranspiration
- IWUE	Irrigation water use efficiency	- kp _c	Class A pan evaporation
- WUE	Water use efficiency	- mM/l	Millimolar/liter
- TSS	Total soluble solids	- F.Wt.	Fresh weight
- D.Wt.	Dry weight	- T.Wt.	Turgid weight
- ABA	Abscisic acid		

References

- 1. Amin, A., K.P. Wani, H. Mir, S.H. Khan, F. Mushtaq, P. Kumar, B. Afroza and Sh. S. Samad Combining Ability Analysis for Yield and Its Attributing Traits over Environments in Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Journal of Agricultural Science., 2012, Vol. 4, No. 11.
- 2. Al-Amri, S.M. Improved growth, productivit y and quality of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) plants through application of shikimic acid. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences., 2013, 20, 339–345.
- 3. El- Bassiony, A.M., Z.F. Fawzy, G.S. Riad and A.A. Ghoname. Mitigation of High Temperature Stress on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Tomato Plants by Different Shading Level. Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences., 2014, 4(4): 1034-1040.
- 4. Godfray, H.C.J., J.R. Beddington, I.R. Crute, L. Haddad and D. Lawrence. Food security: the challenge of feeding 9 billion people. Science., 20103, 27: 812–818.
- 5. Gould, W.A. Tomato Production, Processing and Quality Evaluation. AVI Publishing Company, Westport, CO., 1983, 445 p.
- 6. Nuruddin, M.M., C.A. Madramootoo and G.T. Dodds. Effects of water stress at different growth stages on greenhouse tomato yield and quality. Hort Science., 2003, 38: 1389-1393.
- Bhardwaj, J. and S.K. Yadav. Genetic Mechanisms of Drought Stress Tolerance, Implications of Transgenic Crops for Agriculture. Agroecology and Strategies for Climate Change Sustainable Agriculture Reviews., 2012, Volume. 8, pp 213-235.
- 8. Al-Amoud, A.I. and F.S. Mohammed. Automatic irrigation scheduling by remote controlled tensiometers under arid conditions. International Agricultural Engineering Journal, 1995, 4(3): 131-145.
- 9. Mukherjee, A., M. Kundu and S. Sarkar. Role of irrigation and mulch on yield, evapotranspiration rate and water use pattern of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.). Agricultural Water Management., 2010, 98:182-189.
- Ozbahce, A. and A.F. Tari. Effects of different emitter space and water stress on yield and quality of processing tomato under semi-arid climate conditions. Agricultural Water Management., 2010, 97, 1405-1410.
- 11. Stone, P.J., D.R. Wilson, P.D. Jamieson and R.N. Gillespie. Water deficit effects on sweet corn. II. Canopy development. Aust. J. Agric. Res., 2001, 52, 115–126.
- 12. Karam, F., J. Breidy, C. Stephan, and J. Rouphael. Evapotranspiration, yield and water use efficiency of drip irrigated corn in the Bekaa Valley of Lebanon. Agric. Water Manage, 2003, 63 (2), 125–137.
- Souza, C.M., J.E. Pessanha, R.A. Barata, E. Michalsky, D.C. Costa and E.S. Dias. Study on phlebotomine sand fly (Diptera: Psychodidae) fauna in Belo Horizonte, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz., 2004, 99, pp. 795–803.
- 14. Oktem, A. Effect of water shortage on yield, and protein and mineral compositions of drip-irrigated sweet corn in sustainable agricultural systems. Agricultural water management, 2008, 95, 1003 1010.
- 15. Yrisarry, B.J.J., P.M.H. Losada and A.R. Podriguez. Response of processing tomato to three different levels of water and nitrogen applications. In: International Symposium on Irrigation of Horticultural Crops., 1993,1 April 1993.
- 16. Al-Omran, A.M.; A.S. Sheta; A.M. Falatah and A.R. Al-Harbi. Effect of drip irrigation on squash (*Cucurbita pepo*) yield and water-use efficiency in sandy calcareous soils amended with clay deposits. Agricultural Water Management., 2005, 73, 43–55.

- 17. Wolf, S. and J. Rudich. The growth rates of fruits on different parts of the tomato plant and the effect of water stress on dry weight accumulation. Scientia Hortic., 1988, 34: 1-11.
- 18. Cetin, O., D. Uygan, H. Boyac and O. Yıldırım. Effects of different irrigation treatments on yield and quality of drip-irrigated tomatoes under Eskisehir conditions. In: IV Vegetable Agriculture Symposium., 2002, 17–20 September, Bursa, Turkey.
- 19. Ferreira, T. and M. Carr. Response of potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) to irrigation and nitrogen in a hot, dry climate: I. Water use. Field Crops Research., 2002, 78, 51–64.
- 20. Kashyap, P. and R. Panda. Effect of irrigation scheduling on potato crop parameters under water stressed conditions. Agriculture and Water Management, 2003, 59, 49–66.
- 21. Harmanto, V.M.S., M.S. Babel and H.J. Tantau. Water requirement of drip irrigated tomatoes grown in greenhouse in tropical environment. Agricultural Water Management, 2005, 71 (2005) 225–242.
- 22. Patane, C., S. Tringali, and O. Sortino. Effects of deficit irrigation on biomass, yield, water productivity and fruit quality of processing tomato under semi-arid Mediterranean climate conditions. Scientia Horticulturae, 2011, 129, 590–596.
- 23. Condon, A.G., R.A. Richards, G.J. Rebetzke and G.D. Farquhar. Breeding for high water-use efficiency. J. Exp. Bot., 2004, 55, 2447-2460.
- 24. Morison, J.I.L., N.R. Baker, P.M. Mullineaux and W.J. Davies, 2008. Improving water use in crop production. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Biol. Sci. 363, 639-658.
- 25. Kirda, C.,. Deficit irrigation scheduling based on plant growth stages showing water stress tolerance. Deficit irrigation practices. In: FAO Corp, 2002, Doc. Rep. 22, Rome, pp. 3–10.
- 26. Zegbe-Dominguez, J.A., M.H. Behboudian, A. Lang and B.E. Clothier. Deficit irrigation and partial rootzone drying maintain fruit dry mass and enhance fruit quality in 'Petopride' processing tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Sci. Hortic., 2003, 98, 505–510.
- 27. Kirda, C., M. Cetin, Y. Dasgan, S. Topcu, H. Kaman, B. Ekici, M.R. Derici and A.I. Ozguven. Yield response of greenhouse grown tomato to partial root drying and conventional deficit irrigation. Agricultural Water Management, 2004, 69, 191–201.
- 28. Patane, C. and S.L. Cosentino. Effects of soil water deficit on yield and quality of processing tomato under a Mediterran ean climate. Agricultural Water Management, 2010. 97, 131–138.
- 29. Liu, H., A. Duan, F. Li, J. Sun, Y. Wang and C. Sun., 2013, Drip Irrigation Scheduling for Tomato Grown in Solar Greenhouse Based on Pan Evaporation in North China Plain. Journal of Integrative Agriculture, 12(3): 520-531.
- 30. Topcu, G., A. Ertas, U. Kolak, M. Öztürk and A. Ulubelen. Antioxidant activity tests on novel triterpenoids from Salvia macrochlamys. Arkivoc., 2007, 7: 195–208.
- 31. Gorham, J. Betaines in higher plants biosynthesis and role in stress metabolism. In Amino Acids and Their Derivatives in Higher Plants (ed. R.M. Wallsgrove)., 1995, pp.171–203. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 32. Makela, P., P. Peltonen-Sainio, K. Jokinen, E. Pehu, H. Setaia, R. Hinkkanen, and S. Somersalo. Uptake and translocation of foliar-applied glycine betaine in crop plants. Plant Sci., 1996, 121, 221–230.
- 33. Yang, X. and C. Lu. Photosynthesis is improved by exogenous glycine betaine in salt-stressed maize plants. Physiologia Plantarum., 2005, 124: 343-352.
- 34. Chen, T.H.H. and N. Murata. Glycinebetaine: an effective protectant against abiotic stress in plants. Trends Plant Sci., 2008, 13:499–505.
- 35. Tahir, M., M. Asraf and M. Shahbaz. Dose exogenous application of glycine betaine as a pre-sowing seed treatment improve growth and regulate some key physiological attribute in wheat plants grown under water deficit conditions? Pak. J. Bot., 2009, 41(3): 1291-1302.
- 36. Hadiarto, T. and L.S.P. Tran. 2011. Progress studies of drought responsive genes in rice. Plant Cell Rep 30:297-310.
- 37. Cha-um, S., Th. Samphumphuang and C. Kirdmanee. Glycinebetaine alleviates water deficit stress in indica rice using proline accumulation, photosynthetic efficiencies, growth performances and yield attributes. Australian Journal of Crop Science., 2013, 7(2): 213-218.
- 38. Hussain, M., M.A. Malik, M. Farooq, M.B. Khan, M. Akram and M.F. Saleem. Exogenous glycinebetaine and salicylic acid application improves water relations, allometry and quality of hybrid sunflower under water deficit conditions. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 2009, 195(2): 98-109.

- 39. Abou El-Yazied, A.A. Foliar Application of glycine betaine and chelated calcium improves seed production and quality of common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under water stress conditions. Res. J. Agric and Biol. Sci., 2011, 7: 357-370.
- 40. Gao, Y., C. Li and K. Lou. Effect of spraying glycine betaine on physiological responses of processing tomato under drought stress. Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer Science., 2012, 18(2): 426-432.
- 41. Weibing, X. and C.B. Rajashekar. Alleviation of water stress in beans by exogenous glycine betaine. Plant Science., 1999, 148, 185–192.
- 42. Makela, P., K. Jokinen, M. Kontturi, P. Peltonen-Sainio, E. Pehu and S. Somersalo. Foliar application of glycine betaine- a novel product from sugar beet as an approach to increase tomato yield. Indus Crops Products, 1998, 7: 139-148.
- 43. Rezaei, M.A.,I. Jokar, M. Ghorbanli, B. Kaviani and A. KharabianMasouleh. Morpho-physiological improving effects of exogenous glycine betaine on tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill.) cv. PS under drought stress conditions. Plant Omics J., 2012, 5:79–86
- 44. Allen, R.G., L.S. Perira, D. Raes and M. Smith. Crop Evapotranspiration. FAO Irrigation and Drainage, Rome, paper., 1998, 56.
- 45. Koller, H.R. Leaf area, leaf weight relationship in the soybean canopy. Crop Sci., 1972, 12: 180-183.
- 46. Bates, L.S., R.P. Waldren and I.D. Teare. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil, 1973, 39: 205–207.
- 47. Moran, R. Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N, Ndimethylformamide. Plant Physiol., 1982, 69, 1376–1381.
- 48. Kaya, C., B.E. AK and D. Higgs. Response of salt-stressed strawberry plants to supplementary calcium nitrate and/or potassium nitrate. J. PLANT. NUTR., 2003, 26(3): 543-560.
- 49. Howell, T.A., R.H. Cuenca and K.H. Solomon. Crop yield response. In: Hoffman, G.J., T.A. Howell, and K.H. Solomon. (Eds.), Management of farm irrigation systems, ASAE, St. Joseph, MI. 1990, pp. 93-122.
- 50. MSTAT Development Team, MSTAT user's guide: A microcomputer program for the design, management and analysis of agronomic research experiments. Michigan State University East Lansing, U.S.A. 1989.
- 51. Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw Hill, New York. Sulpice, R., Y. Gibon, A. Boucherean and F. Larher. 1998. Exogenously supplied glycine betaine in spinach and rapeseed leaf discs: compatibility or noncompatibility? Plant, Cell & Environment, 1980, 21, 1285–1292.
- 52. Leilah, A.A. Physiological response of onion to water stress and bio fertilizers. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt, 2009, p., 121.
- 53. Farooq, M., A.Wahid, N. Kobayashi, D. Fujita, and S.M.A. Basra. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. In: Lichtfouse, E., Navarrete, M., Debaeke, P., Véronique, S., Alberola, C. (Eds.), Sustainable Agriculture. Springer, Netherlands, 2009, pp. 153–188.
- 54. Zhang, Ch. and Z. Huang. Effects of endogenous abscisic acid, jasmonic acid, polyamines, and polyamine oxidase activity in tomato seedlings under drought stress. Scientia Horticulturae, 2013, 159, 172–177.
- 55. Osman, H.S.M., Rationing water requirments of rice plant using some osmoregulating compounds. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, 2009, p., 126.
- 56. Mutava, R.N., S.J.K. Prince, N.H. Syed, L. Song, B. Valliyodan, W. Chen and H.T. Nguyen. Understanding abiotic stress tolerance mechanisms in soybean: A comparative evaluation of soybean response to drought and flooding stress. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 2015, 86: 109-120.
- El-Dakroury, M.A.E., Influence of different irrigation systems and treatments on productivity and fruit quality of some bean varieties. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University, Egypt, 2008, p., 94.
- Abbas, W., M. Ashraf and N.A. Akram. Alleviation of salt-induced adverse effects in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) by glycinebetaine and sugar beet extracts. Scientia Horticulturae, 2010, 125: 188 – 195.
- 59. McCue, K.F. and A.D. Hanson. Drought and salt tolerance: towards understanding and application. TIBTECH, 1990, 8, 358–362.
- 60. Walker, M.A., D.M. Smith, L.P. Pauls and B.D. McKersie. A chlorophyll fluorescence screening test to evaluate chilling tolerance in tomato. Hort. Sci., 1990, 25, 334–339.

- 61. Chandrashekar, K.R. and S. Sandhyarani. Salinity induced chemical changes in Crotalaria striata Dc. Plants. Indian J. Plant Physiol., 1996, 1: 44–48.
- 62. .Yadav, S.K., N. Jyothi-Lakshmi, M. Maheswari, M. Vanaja, and B. Venkateswarlu. Influence of water deficit at vegetative, anthesis and grain filling stages on water relation and grain yield in sorghum. Indian J. Plant Physiol., 2005, 10: 20–24.
- 63. Heuer, B. Influence of exogenous application of proline and glycine betaine on growth of salt-stressed tomato plants. Plant Sci., 2003, 165: 693-699.
- 64. Wiliams, W.P., A.P.R. Brain and P.J. Dominy, Induction of non-bilayer lipid phase separation in chloroplast thylakoid membranes by compatible cosolutes and its relation to the thermal stability of photosystem II. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1992, 1099, 137–144.
- 65. Papageorgiou, G.C., Y. Fujimara and Y.N. Murata. Protection of the oxygen-evolving photosystem II complex by glycine betaine. Biochem. Biophys. Acta, 1991, 1057, 361–366.
- 66. El-Saied, H.M., M.R. Abou-Hussein, A.S. El-Beltagy, S. Khalil, M.S. El-Beltagy and M.A. Maksoud.. Effect of water imbalance on growth and development of bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Egypt, J. Hort., 1983, 10(1): 63-82.
- 67. Candido, V., V. Miccolis and M. Perniola. Effects of irrigation regime on yield and quality of processing tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cultivars. III International Symposium on Irrigation of Horticultural Crops. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS), 2000, 537, 779–788.
- 68. Marouelli, W.A. and W.L.C. Silva. Water tension thresholds for processing tomatoes under drip irrigation in central Brazil. Irrigation Science, 2007, 25, 41–418.
- 69. Aldesuquy, H.S., M.A. Abbas, S.A. Abo- Hamed, A.H. Elhakem and S.S. Alsokari. Glycine betaine and salicylic acid induced modification in productivity of two different cultivars of wheat grown under water stress. Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry, 2012, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 72-89 ISSN 1997-0838.
- 70. Ibrahim, A.H. and H.S. Aldesuquy. Glycine betaine and shiki- mic acid induced modification in growth criteria, water relation and productivity of drought Sorghum bicolor plants. Phyton, 2003, 43: 351-363.
- 71. Colla, G., R. Casa, B. Cascio, F. Saccardo, O. Temperini and C. Leoni. Responses of processing tomato to water regime and fertilization in Central Italy. Acta Horticulturae, 1999, 487, 531–535.
- 72. Marouelli, W.A., W.L.C. Silva and C.L. Moretti. Production, quality and water use efficiency of processing tomato as affected by the final irrigation timing. Horticultura Brasileira, 2004, 22, 225–230.
- 73. Johnstone, P.R., T.K. Hartz, M. LeStrange, J.J. Nunez and E.M. Miyao. Managing fruit soluble solids with late-season deficit irrigation in drip-irrigated processing tomato production. Hort Science., 2005, 40, 1857-1861.
